## BIOMIMETISMO Y CONTROL EN EXOESQUELETOS PARA NEUROREHABILITATION

JOSÉ L. PONS

CURSO RESISTE 2016







Wearable

JOSÉ L. PONS

WILEY

Robots

pHRi

**BIOMECHATRONIC EXOSKELETONS** 

## Outlook

Introduction

Motivation, constraints & interactions: hybrid systems The concept of associative (or causal) rehabilitation Clinical & Neurophysiological assessment



## Introduction :: Motivation

- Neurological conditions leading to severe motor disorders:
  - CVA, 5.5 % of population, 770,000 new strokes annually in US
  - \* SCI, 800 per million
  - \* Cerebral Palsy, 2.8 per million
  - \* Tremor, up to 15% of people 50+









- Stroke, SCI, CP...
- Stroke is a global social and health problem.
- Conventional therapy is in most cases insufficient to restore arm's functional capabilities (Langhorne et al. 2011).
- Novel therapies are needed to improve rehabilitation outcomes.



 High-intensity repetitive task-specific practice might be the most effective principle when trying to promote motor recovery after stroke (Kwakkel et al. 2008)

## Introduction :: Rehabilitation vs. motor substitution





## Introduction



There is a strong evidence that the use of functional electrical stimulation (FES) improve the reaching and grasping function after stroke (Thrasher et al. 2009; Popovic et al. 2005).



varying)

Exoskeletons :: Two incompatible mechanical systems





Kinematics

Dynamics

Motor Planning Motor Coordination Motor Execution

# Introduction :: Complex sensorimotor interactions



VRC CVJVL

INSTITUTO

**Kinematics** 

**Dynamics** 

Motor Planning Motor Coordination Motor Execution

Pons (ed.), John Wiley, 2015

# Introduction :: Complex sensorimotor interactions





Kinematics Dynamics Motor Planning Motor Coordination Motor Execution

Pons (ed.), John Wiley, 2015

## Introduction :: Current SotA assistive WRs





Dynamics Motor Planning Motor Coordination Motor Execution

Kinematics

# Introduction :: WR with healthy volunteers





**Kinematics** 

#### **Dynamics**

Motor Planning Motor Coordination Motor Execution











Dynamics Motor Planning Motor Coordination

Motor Execution





#### **Kinematics**

Dynamics

Motor Planning Motor Coordination Motor Execution



Pons (ed.), John Wiley, 2015





Cullell et al., Mech. Mach. Theory, 2009





Pons (ed.), John Wiley, 2015





Kinematics

### Dynamics

Motor Planning Motor Coordination Motor Execution



#### Take Home Message

- Two parallel mechanical multibody structures (human anatomic and WR structures) will ingeneral result in incompatible kinematics.
- 2. Kinematic incompatibility will restrict natural human kinematics and result in (inadmissible) interaction forces.
- Either biologically inspired joints or redundant joints would reduce kinematic mismatch and improve acceptability.

4.

5.

The WR will alter dynamic parameters (added inertia, mass distribution) which will affect the passive dynamics and the efficiency of walking.

Current actuator technologies exhibit very dissimilar actuation properties when compared to human muscles (backdrivability, limited torque, reduced compliance...) which limit walking dynamics.

# Kinematics

Dynamics

Motor Planning Motor Coordination Motor Execution





## Rehabilitation Platform System Integration





- Assistive Devices (Assistance)
  - FES: movement assistance
  - Exoskeleton: arm weight compensation
- High Level Controller (Adaptability)
  - Real-time architecture for controlling the task execution
- Configuration Interface & Visual Feedback (Accessibility and Engagement)
- EEG-based BMI (Association)

#### **Kinematics**

Dynamics

Motor Planning



Coordination

Motor

Execution

Feedback: Compensates for disturbances.

Feedforward: Learn the inverse dynamic of the musculoskeletal system by receiving as input the desired kinematic profile and using the output of the feedback loop as the correction parameter [Kawato 1989].



### Implementation FEL controller



#### Feedback:

- PID, with anti-wind up.
- Positive output values generated muscle motor unit \_ activations
- Negative output values were ineffective and could lead to windup the integral term, but they were required for the NN to learn.



#### Feedforward:

- Three-layer neural network (9 input, 9 hidden nodes, and 1 output node)
- The input and hidden layers had an additional bias node (value -1).
- Input was normalized in the range of 1 to 1 to generate faster learning rates.
- The NN was trained using the gradient descent algorithm after each sample time.
- The NN weights were initialized with small random values close to zero.



#### Kinematics

### Dynamics

### Motor Planning



### Rehabilitation Platform **Example**



Stroke Patients without EEG-informed interface



Stroke Patients with EEG-informed interface



**Kinematics** 

Dynamics

Motor Planning





### Results (II) Stroke Subject



#### A. Root Mean Square error (RMS)

- Movements were divided in runs (1 run = 8 mov).
- The RMS error for each run was calculated for each joint (shoulder -Ø2- & elbow -Ø5-).
- A best fitting linear regression was calculated (black line) to find the trend of the error.
- In both joint for day 1 (blue) and day 2 (red) there is a decreasing trend (negative slope).

Tracking accuracy is improved as the movement is repeated.





The FEL controller is able to learn the inverse dynamic of stroke patients.

## Outlook

Introduction

Motivation, constraints & interactions: hybrid systems The concept of associative (or causal) rehabilitation Clinical & Neurophysiological assessment











Kinematics Dynamics Motor Planning Motor Coordination **Motor** 

Motor Execution



Hebb., The Organization of Behavior. Wiley & Sons, 1949

Robotic therapy, through intensive motor therapy, has been shown to induce primary motor cortex neuroplasticity in patients with stroke.

Treatment with dopaminergics, such as Levodopa, <u>enhances neuroplasticity by inducing</u> <u>LTP and LTD in networks responsible of memory</u> formation and learning.

Virtual reality (or enhanced biofeedback) can be coupled with robotic therapy to deliver rewards during training, thus <u>engaging structures which</u> weigh the magnitude of rewards, process abstract rewards, and manage motivation plus reinforcement.









### EEG-informed associative assistance The Concept



Healthy

"The afferent signal generated artificially induces central nervous system plasticity because it is in causal association with the cortical activity associated with the intention to move"



**Kinematics** 

Dynamics

Motor Planning

Motor Coordination Motor Execution

Mrachacz-Kersting et al. 2012 Xu et al. 2014





# EEG information associated with the movement



### A. Slow Cortical Potentials



# Kinematics Dynamics Motor Planning



# EEG information associated with the movement



A. Sensorimotor rhythms





# EEG-informed associative assistance Implementation



### **EEG-informed BMI:**

- Timed assistance
- Aimed to elicit associative facilitation



Dynamics Motor

Planning

**Kinematics** 

Motor Coordination Motor Execution

J. Ibáñez et al., "Detection of the onset of upper-limb movements based on the combined analysis of changes in the sensorimotor rhythms and slow cortical potentials," J. Neural Eng., vol. 11, no. 5, p. 56009, 2014.

## Outlook

Introduction

Motivation, constraints & interactions: hybrid systems The concept of associative (or causal) rehabilitation Clinical & Neurophysiological assessment



## Clinical evidence :: Ongoing trials

|                             | Bionic Leg                            | Ekso                           | HAL                    | Indego       | Kinesis            | ReWalk      | WalkTrainer                        | WPAL                             | -           |
|-----------------------------|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------|------------------------|--------------|--------------------|-------------|------------------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------|
| Exoskeleton                 |                                       |                                |                        |              |                    |             |                                    |                                  | Kinematics  |
| Degrees of freedom          | K                                     | HKA                            | НКА                    | HK           | KA                 | НКА         | HaHKA                              | НКА                              |             |
| Weight-bearing devices      | W                                     | С                              | W/C/S                  | W/C          | W/C                | С           | S                                  | W/B                              |             |
| Sensor measurements         | JA, JT, FF                            | AJA, ACF, FF,<br>Acc/Ori (arm) | EMG, JA,<br>FF, Acc    | JA, Acc, Ori | JA, FF,<br>IT, Ori | JA, FF, Ori | IT, JA                             | JA, JT                           | Dynamics    |
| Device weight (kg)          | 3.6                                   | 20                             | 15                     | 12           | 9.2                | 23          | ?                                  | 13                               |             |
| User height (cm) limit      | 153-182                               | 158-188                        | 145-185                | 155-191      | <1.85              | 160-190     | ?                                  | 145-180                          |             |
| User weight (kg) limit      | 136                                   | 100                            | 80                     | 113          | 90                 | 100         | ?                                  | 80                               | Motor       |
| Gait initiation mode        | Foot sensors<br>and knee<br>extension | 1. Body tilt                   | Knee EMG<br>activation | Body tilt    | Button push        | Body tilt   | ?                                  | Button push                      | Planning    |
|                             |                                       | 2. Button push                 |                        |              |                    |             |                                    |                                  |             |
| Unique features             | Unilateral                            | _                              | _                      | —            | Hybrid (FES)       | _           | Hybrid (FES),<br>active bodyweight | Frame fits<br>between legs,      | Motor       |
|                             |                                       |                                |                        |              |                    |             | support<br>suspension harness      | easy to don<br>within wheerchair | Coordinatio |
|                             |                                       |                                |                        |              |                    |             | exoskeleton                        |                                  | Matan       |
| ClinicalTrials.gov registra | a-                                    | NCT01701388                    | _                      | NCT02202538  | _                  | NCT02322125 | CAUSKEICUII                        | _                                | IVIOTOr     |
| tion ID                     |                                       |                                |                        |              |                    |             |                                    |                                  | Execution   |
|                             |                                       | NCT02324322                    |                        |              |                    | NCT01943669 |                                    |                                  | Execution   |
|                             |                                       | NCT02132702                    |                        |              |                    | NCT02118194 |                                    |                                  |             |
|                             |                                       | NCT02065830                    |                        |              |                    | NCT02104622 |                                    |                                  |             |
|                             |                                       |                                |                        |              |                    | NCT01251549 |                                    |                                  |             |
|                             |                                       |                                |                        |              |                    | NCT00627107 |                                    |                                  |             |
|                             |                                       |                                |                        |              |                    | NC1014545/0 |                                    |                                  |             |

Contreras et al., Powered exoskeletons for bipedal locomotion after spinal cord injury, J. Neural Engineering, 13 (2016) 031001.





Contreras et al., Powered exoskeletons for bipedal locomotion after spinal cord injury, J. Neural Engineering, 13 (2016) 031001.



### BCI-based associative assistance Training and evaluation (Stroke)





Pre- and post-evaluation to quantify the patients's improvements (clinical scales, neurophysiological, biomechanics and acceptance).

Session: 3 x week; 4 consecutive weeks; Duration ~1



### BCI-based associative assistance Training and evaluation (Stroke)



|   |                      |                                |               | Kinematics            |
|---|----------------------|--------------------------------|---------------|-----------------------|
| Clinical Scores                             | Neurophysiological   | Kinematics and kinetics        | Satisfaction  | Dynamics              |
| Box & Block test                            | MEPs                 | Assisted and assisted movement | Questionnaire | Motor<br>Planning     |
| Modified Ashworth Scale<br>(MAS) spasticity | EEG recording        | Task performance               |               | Motor                 |
| ARAT  | Co-contraction index | Isometric force                |               | Coordination<br>Motor |
| Cognitive index                             |                      |                                |               | Execution             |



### BCI-based associative assistance Experimental Protocol



• Pre-assessment (TMS), Post- and Post30- assessment (TMS)

TMS Assessment 5 x 12 single pulse Intensities: 90, 100, 110, 120, 130



Kinematics

Dynamics

Motor Planning



## BCI-based associative assistance Experimental Protocol

Task: Reaching movements

Stimulated Muscles: Anterior deltoid & Triceps

Training phase: 2 x 20 mov. for BCI calibration Intervention phase: 50 good stimuli



- Intervention
- A. Training phase

- User performs 40 movements without stimulation, to train the user's motor intent detector



Kinematics

Dynamics

Motor Planning



## BCI-based associative assistance Experimental Protocol



• Intervention

Task: Reaching movements Stimulated Muscles: Anterior deltoid & Triceps Training phase: 2 x 20 mov. for BCI calibration Intervention phase: 50 good stimuli

- B. Intervention phase
  - User performs 50 good movements



**Kinematics** 

Dynamics

Motor Planning



### EEG-informed associative assistance Partial Results (I)





# Neurophysiology :: Effects in brain activity





Kinematics

Dynamics

Motor Planning

Motor Coordination Motor Execution

Bortole et al., J. Neural Engineering and Rehab,, 2015



# Neurophysiology :: Effects in EEG vs. function



Kinematics Dynamics Motor Planning

Motor Coordination Motor Execution



Contreras-Vidal et al., submitted AJPMR, 2016

# Neurophysiology :: Effects in brain activity





Kinematics

Dynamics

Motor Planning Motor Coordination

Motor Execution

Bortole et al., J. Neural Engineering and Rehab,, 2015

## Motor coordination :: Synergy decomposition

\* Evidence:

- Similar synergistic decomposition for similar functions accross subjects [Safavynia2011] [Clark2010]
- Mucle synergies can be modulated through intense rehabilitation therapy with robots [Salman2010]
- Pathological synergies can be modulated towards healthy patterns through robotic therapy [Salman2010]
- Robot training preserves motor modules in healthy volunteers [Moreno2013]

Safavynia and Ting, J. Neuophysiol, 2012 Clark et al., J. Neuophysiol, 2010 Salman et al., Int. Robots and Systems, 2010 Moreno et al., J. Neural Engineering and Rehab., 2013



## Motor coordination :: Alterations in Neurological conditions



#### Altered modular control:

- Impulsive motor patterns are maintained after stroke.
- Modular control in stroke patients is kept but changed wrt healthy.
- Both modular control in affected and unaffected sides is altered.

### Muscle activation is misdirected

Gizzi et al, J Neurophysiol 2011



# Kinematics Dynamics

Motor Planning

Motor Coordination

> Motor Execution



Gizzi et al., J. Neuophysiol, 2011

## Motor coordination :: Dimensionality



Moreno et al., Effects of robotic guidance on the coordination of locomotion, INER 2013, 10:79

ΓΛΙΛ

## Motor coordination :: Preserved activation



Moreno et al., Effects of robotic guidance on the coordination of locomotion, INER 2013, 10:79

## Motor coordination :: Preserved activation

- \* Four motor modules were sufficient to describe the muscular activations across subjects & conditions
- \* The main modular organization of control in in healthy humans is in general maintained when adding a GF with a robotic trainer.
  - \* A low-dimensional, burst-like impulsive control, with activation impulses well timed with respect to the gait phases is in general maintained
  - \* The results indicate that the muscle weightings can be shaped more flexibly than activation primitives by changing the GF.
  - \* Conditions that are uncomfortable for healthy subjects (20% GF and 1.5 Km/h speed) result in deviations in modules and timing of activations.









Gonzalez et al., A predictive model of muscle excitations based on muscle modularity for a large repertoire of human locomotion conditions, Frontiers in Comp. Neuroscience, 2015

## Was :: Improving physical interaction



## Take Home Message

- \* Both CNS and PNS signals (e.g. EEG and EMG-informed muscle coordination) can be used to command WRs.
- \* CNS and PNS signals can be used to trigger symbiotic co-control with WRs.
- \* WRs will in general mediate, through physical interaction, changes in CNS and PNS structures as a result of neural plasticity. This is the basis for WRs in rehabilitation.
- \* WRs may trigger pathological movement disorders such as spasticity, therefore control strategies should be adapted to the handicapped.
- \* Neurological conditions may lead either to lack of sensory perception (complete SCI) or exacerbated sensations: attention has to be paid to how mechanical loading of limbs is performed.
- \* Additional research efforts should be put in place to ascertain how the use of WRs neuromodulates CSN and PNS to improve the efficiency of therapeutic interventions.

Kinematics

Dynamics

Motor Planning

Motor Coordination

> Motor Execution



## Thank you!

jose.pons@csic.es



 $H_2 R$  Integrative Approach for the Emergence of Human-like Locomotion





